UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/614,795	07/09/2003	Andrew J. Dannenberg	CRF D-2756 NB	8535
23364 BACON & THO	7590 06/23/200 OMAS, PLLC	EXAMINER		
625 SLATERS	LANE	ROBERTS, LEZAH		
FOURTH FLOO ALEXANDRIA	or A, VA 22314-1176	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1612	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/23/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/614,795	DANNENBERG ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	LEZAH W. ROBERTS	1612				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 21 April 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidav ral (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI).	g date of the final rejection E FIRST REPLY WAS FII	on. LED WITHIN TWO			
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of the standard Translation (1) the expiration date of the state forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropria	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in completing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	ision thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better	sideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);				
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	·	-	_			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ii be entered and an e.	xpianation of			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>6 and 10</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>7-9 and 11</u> . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a			
10.	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>						
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
/Frederick Krass/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1612	/Lezah W Roberts/ Examiner, Art Unit 1612	!				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant argues Jaradat et al. teach away from Siebert and one of skill in the art would not be motivated to combine and modify Siebert et al. and Jaradat et al. in a manner that would result in the claimed invention. The Examiner disagrees and submits Jaradat et al. discloses future testing of selective PGHS-2 inhibitors as regulators of PPAR isoforms (page 1593, bottom of second column). These compounds encompass COX-2 selective inhibitors. This disclosure would lead one of skill in the art to test these compounds for the effects disclosed by the reference. The references give clear motivation as to why one of ordinary skill in the art would want to use these methods for screening COX-2 inhibitors, which is because these methods are related to therapeutic treatment of the recited diseases. Further the preamble does not give life to the instant claims because the claims do not recite how one would choose a COX-2 inhibitor or method steps that actually use COX-2 selective inhibitors in the recited screening method. See MPEP 2111.02. The recitation of "the more of (a), (b), (c), (d), (e), (f) and (g) being met, the greater the likelihood of success" to not remedy the deficiency in the preamble because the claims do not recite any method steps as discussed above and therefore the phrase is not correlated to the preamble.